

Carbon Star Dust from Meteorites

Uffe Gr e J rgensen and Anja C. Andersen

Niels Bohr Institute, Copenhagen University Observatory
Juliane Maries Vej 30, DK-2100 Copenhagen, Denmark

Abstract

Inside carbonaceous chondrite meteorites are tiny dust particles which, when heated, release noble gases with an isotopic composition different from what is found anywhere else in the solar system. For this reason it is believed that these grains are (inter)stellar dust which survived the collapse of the interstellar cloud that became the solar system. We will describe here why we believe that the most abundant of these grains, micro-diamonds, were formed in the atmospheres of carbon stars, and explain how this theory can be tested observationally.

1 Introduction

The discovery of meteoritic dust grains with origin outside the solar system has opened the possibility to study presolar material in the laboratory, with all the advantages in details and accuracy such analysis allow for. Identification of the (possible) stellar origin of the meteoritic grains, offer us a unique opportunity to add important new constrains on models of stellar evolution (detailed elemental and isotopic abundances) and stellar atmospheric structure (elemental and mineralogical composition of the grains). There are several indications that a fraction, possible the bulk, of the presolar meteoritic grains has its origin in carbon stars.

For the moment the amount of detailed information (like isotopic ratios of tiny noble gas impurities) about the meteoritic grains is overwhelming (see e.g. Zinner 1995), whereas much of the fundamental data necessary in order to apply the meteoritic results to stellar modelling is entirely missing. For example, the necessary rate coefficients for formation of the most abundant presolar meteoritic grains (diamond dust) are lacking, and stellar wind models therefore do not predict diamond formation, but instead such models predict amorphous carbon (which has not been identified in meteorites) as the most abundant grain type in carbon-rich environments.

A combined self-consistent description of the full atmospheric region of a red giant star does not exist yet, but is slowly becoming within reach. The meteoritic data combined with more fundamental laboratory data can be an important ingredient in constructing such a model for the first time. Successful construction of self-consistent models, followed by possibly verifications of the formation place(s) of the most abundant stellar grains that contributed to the formation of the meteorites (and hence also the planets) would provide us fundamental new knowledge about the sources of material for the solar system and the chemical evolution of the Galaxy.

The most common types of meteorites are fragments of larger proto-planetary bodies, which melted and chemically differentiated after their formation. Carbonaceous chondrites, on the other hand, are meteorites which have never been part of a larger body. They consist of spherical glass-like chondrules embedded in a fine-grained matrix. The matrix has had a gentle thermal history and is believed to be the (relatively unprocessed) original dust from which the planets formed. Therefore, the larger the amount of matrix is in the chondrite, relative to the chondrule material, the more of the original solar nebula material is present, and the more primitive the chondrite is said to be.

When this matrix material was heated in the laboratory, it was realized already in the early 1960'ies (see Lewis & Anders 1983 for a review) that at certain temperatures the matrix released noble gases with an isotopic composition markedly different from everything else in the solar system. It was therefore concluded that the matrix contains one or more types of grains, formed before the solar system, in which non-solar composition noble gases are trapped. After years of trials with different chemical purifications of the matrix material, and subsequent stepwise heating and isotopic noble gas measurements, the first presolar grains were finally isolated by Lewis et al. in 1987, and identified as tiny diamonds.

Diamonds account for more than 99% of the identified presolar meteoritic material, with an abundance that can exceed 0.1% (1000 ppm) of the matrix (Huss & Lewis 1994b), corresponding to more than 3% of the total amount of carbon in the meteorite. The second and third most abundant types are SiC (6 ppm) and graphite (less than 1 ppm). They are all chemically quite resistant, which makes it possible to isolate them by dissolving the meteorite in acids. Further, a few of the SiC and graphite grains has been shown to contain tiny sub-grains of titanium and refractory carbides (Bernatowicz et al. 1991, 1992, 1994). Three isotopically anomalous, non-carbon-bearing grains have also been found. They are corundum (Al_2O_3), spinel (MgAl_2O_4), and silicon nitride (Si_3N_4) (Russel et al. 1995, Nittler et al. 1994, 1995). In the following sections we will discuss diamonds, SiC and graphite in some detail.

2 Diamonds

The individual diamond grains are very small, with a median diameter of less than 20 Å (Fraundorf et al. 1989). Since the diamond lattice distance is about 2 Å, a typical presolar diamond contains of the order $(\frac{20}{2})^3 = 1000$ carbon atoms, with $6 \times 10^2 \approx 50\%$ of these belonging to the surface. Since surface atoms have one unpaired bond, they will (in a hydrogen-rich atmosphere) resemble hydrogenated amorphous carbon (a-C:H). Only the $\approx 50\%$ “interior” atoms will sit in an actual diamond crystal structure. The presolar diamonds are therefore often called amorphous diamonds.

It is not obvious to which degree the extracted diamonds resemble the original diamond dust at its place of origin. Many alternations could have occurred in interstellar space, in the solar nebula, as well as during the chemical extraction process in the laboratory. However, the first step in an observational identification of their astronomical source of origin might be modelling of their synthetic spectrum. For this purpose we have measured the monochromatic absorption coefficient, described which of the features can be expected to be intrinsic to the diamonds (and which might be artifacts from the chemical processing in the laboratory), and computed synthetic carbon star spectra with the diamonds included (Andersen et al. 1996). The features which are most likely to be intrinsic are listed in Table 1 and compared with the results obtained by other groups.

Like for the other grains, the strongest argument that the diamonds are formed outside the solar system is the peculiar, non-solar isotopic composition of their noble gas inclusions (and other trace element inclusions). There are several reasons why we believe the bulk of the diamonds form in carbon stars, one of the most important ones being their $^{12}\text{C}/^{13}\text{C}$ ratio of ≈ 90 . This ratio is identical to what is observed in carbon stars with large excess of carbon (i.e., with $\text{C}/\text{O} \gtrsim 1.5$, and strongly mass losing), and it is not found in any other abundant astronomical objects. In contrast to this, SiC (the second most abundant presolar grain) has $^{12}\text{C}/^{13}\text{C} \approx 40$, which is typical (Lambert et al. 1986) for carbon stars with only small excess of carbon (i.e., with $\text{C}/\text{O} \approx 1$). Hydrostatic MARCS photospheric models indicate

Table 1: Spectral features, in cm^{-1} , detected in the spectra of the presolar diamonds from the Allende, Murchison and Orgueil meteorites.

ALLENDE		MURCHISON		ORGUEIL	ASSIGNMENT	
(1)	(2) ^a	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6) ^a	
		50 000		50 000	paired N in diamond	
		37 037		37 037	paired N in diamond	
2919		2954		3000	2940	aliphatic C-H stretch
2849		2854		2800		
1361		1385	1399		1380	C-H deformation (CH_3) /interstitial N (1)~Lewis
1173	1143	1122	1084	1175	1042	C-O/C-N stretch/ CH ₂ wagging
1028	1089	1054		1090		CH out-of-plane
	626				620	C=O=C or C=N=C
				396, 367		
				310		
				130, 120		??

et al. 1989, (2)~Koike et al. 1995, (3)~Andersen et al. 1996, (4)~Lewis 1992, (5)~Mutschke et al. 1996, (6)~Wdowick et al. 1988, *a* ~ the spectra were obtained on diamond-like residues

that SiC grains will dominate the grain formation for $C/O \approx 1$ (where $^{12}\text{C}/^{13}\text{C}$ is as found in the meteoritic SiC grains), whereas pure carbon grains will dominate for the high C/O ratios (where $^{12}\text{C}/^{13}\text{C}$ is as in the meteoritic diamonds). This was the primary basis for our theory (Jørgensen 1988) that diamonds come from evolved carbon stars and SiC from less evolved carbon stars (actually, at the time the paper was written it was a prediction that SiC should exist in meteorites). The (radiative pressure driven) mass loss increases rapidly with increasing C/O (= increasing $^{12}\text{C}/^{13}\text{C}$) of the stars. If diamonds and SiC are formed in carbon stars, it is therefore a natural consequence of this theory that the meteorites contain much more diamonds than SiC. A more quantitative simulation is still missing because at present it isn't possible to include diamond formation in the model atmospheres (due to lack of basic input data).

A number of impurities have been identified in the presolar diamonds, including the noble gases (He, Ne, Ar, Kr, and Xe), Ba and Sr (which are slightly enriched in r-process isotopes; Lewis et al. 1991), H with $^1\text{H}/^2\text{D} = 5193$ (Virag et al. 1989; $(^1\text{H}/^2\text{D})_{\text{terrestrial}} = 6667$) and N with $^{14}\text{N}/^{15}\text{N} = 406$ (Russel et al. 1991; $(^{14}\text{N}/^{15}\text{N})_{\text{terrestrial}} = 272$). The most important of these, Xe, was actually known from stepwise heating techniques before the grains themselves were identified as diamonds. The Xe in the diamonds has a significant overabundance (compared to the solar isotopic ratios) of the very heavy isotopes (Xe-H ~ isotopes ^{134}Xe and ^{136}Xe) as well as the very light isotopes (Xe-L ~ ^{124}Xe , ^{126}Xe). This composition is often called Xe-HL to indicate that there is an excess of both heavy (H) and light (L) isotopes. There are no astronomical objects known (neither from observations nor from standard theories) which have both solar $^{12}\text{C}/^{13}\text{C}$ ratio and Xe-HL. An explanation therefore needs to involve either a non-standard model, not yet observationally verified, or an assumption of the diamonds being a mixture of populations from several different sources.

Heavy and light Xe isotopes are produced in supernovae (SN), and Clayton (1989) therefore proposed that the meteoritic diamond grains were formed in a supernova that also produced the Xe-HL measured in the diamonds. Since the progenitor star of a supernova in the standard theories has an oxygen-rich atmosphere (i.e., cannot produce carbon-rich grains) and a pure ^{12}C interior shell (i.e., can only produce grains with $^{13}\text{C}/^{12}\text{C} \approx 0$), a non-standard theory was necessary. In the extension of the model, Clayton et al. (1995) proposed a non-standard SN where mixing from a ^{13}C -rich shell occurs in the right amount to give $^{12}\text{C}/^{13}\text{C} \approx 90$. A non-standard r-process was assumed too, in order to avoid the production

of ^{129}I which decays to ^{129}Xe and which therefore would cause a very large excess of ^{129}Xe , not observed in the meteorites. For a recent review of the standard r-, and s- neutron capture processes, see Käppeler et al. (1989). Furthermore, regular r-process cannot in itself produce the very large excess of ^{136}Xe characteristic for the Xe-HL measured in the presolar diamonds. Ott (1996), however, proposed that the standard r-process is active, but that a separation of xenon from iodine and tellurium precursors takes place in the SN on a time scale of few hours after termination of the neutron burst in the SN. Since ^{136}Xe is formed minutes after the neutron burst, and the other r-process Xe isotopes are formed hours (^{134}Xe), days (^{131}Xe) or even years (^{129}Xe) later, a sufficiently early separation would allow almost infinite amounts of ^{136}Xe relative to the other Xe isotopes which are produced. If a separation in the SN gas takes place two hours after the neutron burst, the meteoritic $^{136}\text{Xe}/^{134}\text{Xe}$ ratio is established in the gas, and with a small amount of later mixing, the observed meteoritic Xe-H can be obtained.

Detailed supernova models supporting these isotopic arguments are missing (as are simulations justifying, for example, the amount of ^{13}C mixing or why only Xe from the separated gas is implanted in the grains when they form years after the neutron burst, etc), but the success of fitting modified SN scenarii to the observed Xe-H makes it likely that part of the diamond grains originates in a supernova. However, there are several reasons why the bulk of the diamonds are unlikely to have formed in supernova: (1) The hydro-dynamical time scale of supernova is short compared to the time scale for carbon grain formation (Sedlmayr 1994). (2) The mass loss is much stronger in carbon stars with high C/O ratio (where pure carbon grains will form) than in carbon stars with lower C/O ratio. If the SiC is formed in carbon stars (see next section), there will therefore have been expelled much more diamond dust from carbon stars (or other pure carbon grains, which are, however, not seen) into interstellar space than SiC. (3) Carbon stars were very abundant in the Galaxy prior to the solar system formation (due to their metallicity dependence). The resemblance of the carbon star $^{12}\text{C}/^{13}\text{C}$ to the solar $^{12}\text{C}/^{13}\text{C}$ is naturally explained if carbon stars were the source of the solar carbon (incl. carbon grains), whereas standard SN not will produce this $^{12}\text{C}/^{13}\text{C}$ ratio.

The typical amount of Xe gas inclusion in the diamonds is $\approx 10^{-6}\text{ cm}^3$ per gram of diamond. This corresponds to of the order of one ^{132}Xe atom and one ^{129}Xe atom per 10^7 diamonds, a bit less of the isotopes ^{131}Xe , ^{134}Xe , and ^{136}Xe , a tenth this amount of isotopes ^{128}Xe and ^{130}Xe , and only traces of ^{124}Xe and ^{126}Xe . A large number of diamonds is therefore necessary in order to perform an isotopic analysis (in practice $\approx 10^{10}$, Huss & Lewis 1994a), and attempts to separate the diamonds in groups of different origin have so far not been successful (Huss & Lewis 1994b). If we assume that the trapping efficiency for Xe in the possible population of diamonds which originated in supernovae is sufficiently large compared to the trapping efficiency in the carbon star diamonds (maybe because of the higher turbulent gas velocities in SN, higher densities, etc), then the Xe-H can be explained from being connected with a small fraction of diamonds of pure ^{12}C (which originated in SN), without altering the necessary bulk $^{12}\text{C}/^{13}\text{C}$ ratio of the carbon star diamonds. We therefore propose that the bulk of the presolar meteoritic diamonds originates in evolved carbon stars (as in our original theory) and are mixed with a smaller population (from SNII) which has a relatively high Xe content and is rich in the heavy isotopes. The content of light isotopes of Xe is very small (less than 1 atom per 10^9 diamonds), and can be explained as coming from SNI (Lambert 1992) in binary systems where the low mass component is an evolved carbon star as in our original theory (Jørgensen 1988), or as a by-process of the Xe production in SNII (Ott 1996).

3 Silicon Carbide

SiC is much less abundant (6 ppm) than diamonds (1000 ppm), but some of the SiC grains are large enough that isotopic ratios of the Si and C (and the abundant impurities N, Mg-Al, Ti, Ca, He, Ne) can be measured in individual grains (Hoppe et al. 1994, Lewis et al. 1994, Anders & Zinner 1993 and references therein). The understanding of their stellar origin is therefore much better than in the case of the diamonds.

The SiC grain sizes have a large variety from less than 0.05 to 20 μm in equivalent spherical diameter, with about 95% (by mass) of the grains being between 0.3 and 3 μm (Amari et al. 1994). Ion micro-probe measurements can be performed on individual grains larger than 1 μm , and the results have made it possible to identify multiple stellar sources as their origin. The detailed match to the elemental and isotopic conditions in the He burning shell of AGB stars (Gallino et al. 1990) has made it generally believed that the bulk of the SiC originated in carbon stars.

To be able to distinguish between various stellar origins Hoppe et al. (1994) have divided the coarse (2.1–5.9 μm) SiC grains into five subgroups.

1. The “mainstream” grains have $20 < {}^{12}\text{C}/{}^{13}\text{C} < 120$ and $200 < {}^{14}\text{N}/{}^{15}\text{N} < 10\,000$.
2. Grains A have ${}^{12}\text{C}/{}^{13}\text{C} < 3.5$.
3. Grains B have $3.5 < {}^{12}\text{C}/{}^{13}\text{C} < 10$.
4. Grains X have isotopically heavy N ($13 < {}^{14}\text{N}/{}^{15}\text{N} < 180$).
5. Grains Y have isotopically light C ($150 < {}^{12}\text{C}/{}^{13}\text{C} < 260$).

The mainstream, type A, and type B grains have comparable patterns of Si isotopes, distinctly different from type X grains and from type Y grains. The mainstream grains constitute $\approx 94\%$ of all coarse-grained SiC, whereas grains from the groups A, B, X and Y account for only 2%, 2.5%, 1% and 1% respectively. Based on this grouping, Amari et al. (1995a) find that grains X could originate from a supernova (SNII), and Lodders & Fegley (1995) find that grains A and B can be at least qualitatively understood if they originate from J-type carbon stars or carbon stars that have not experienced much dredge-up of He-shell material.

It is seen that the isotopic variations among the grains are very large. ${}^{12}\text{C}/{}^{13}\text{C}$ varies by a factor more than 350, ${}^{14}\text{N}/{}^{15}\text{N}$ varies by 300 times (and ${}^{30}\text{Si}/{}^{28}\text{Si}$ by a factor of 3). Variations in noble gases associated with SiC are large as well (Ott 1993). The two noble gas components, s-process Xe and Neon-E (i.e., essentially pure ${}^{22}\text{Ne}$), show opposite correlations with grain size, s-process Xe being most abundant in fine-grained SiC and Ne-E in coarse-grained SiC (Lewis et al. 1994). Other elements which show s-process indications include s-process Kr, Ba, Sr, Ca, Ti, Nd and Sm.

The proportions of ${}^{80,86}\text{Kr}$ vary with release temperature of the gas. This variation reflects branching of the s-process at the radioactive progenitors, ${}^{79}\text{Se}$ and ${}^{85}\text{Kr}$ (Ott et al. 1988). These branchings depend sensitively on neutron density and temperatures in the s-process region, and the ${}^{80,86}\text{Kr}$ can therefore provide clues about in which stars the SiC formed, or if the stellar type is already known it can put constraints on the detailed modelling of these stars.

4 Graphite

The presolar graphite isolated from meteorites lies at the graphitic end of the continuum between kerogen, amorphous carbon, and graphite. It is not very abun-

dant (less than 1 ppm), and it is much more complicated to extract than SiC and micro-diamonds (Amari et al. 1994). Presolar graphite occurs solely in the form of spherules, 0.8 – 8 μ m in diameter, while graphite grains of other sizes and shapes have normal composition and are believed to have been formed in the solar nebula (Zinner et al. 1990). The presolar graphite has a very broad $^{12}\text{C}/^{13}\text{C}$ distribution, with $^{12}\text{C}/^{13}\text{C}$ ratios ranging from 7 to 4500, whereas the $^{14}\text{N}/^{15}\text{N}$ ratios range from 193 to 680 (Zinner et al. 1995).

The noble gases show systematic trends with sample density, suggesting more than one kind of graphite. Some have almost mono-isotopic ^{22}Ne . Others contain neon with a somewhat higher $^{20}\text{Ne}/^{22}\text{Ne}$ ratio and are accompanied by s-process Kr, ^4He and other noble gases (Amari et al. 1995b).

The carbon and nitrogen isotopic ratios found in the grains indicate that they come from stellar sources dominated by H-burning rather than from sources dominated by He-burning (Amari et al. 1993). H-burning in the CNO cycle produces isotopically heavy carbon (^{13}C) and light nitrogen (^{14}N), in qualitative agreement with the measurements (Zinner et al. 1989; Hoppe et al. 1994). Systematic measurements of isotopic ratios of several other elements were recently done by Hoppe et al. (1995).

References

- Amari S., Hopper P., Zinner E. and Lewis R. S. 1993, *Nature*, 365, 806
- Amari S., Lewis R. S. and Anders E. 1994, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 58, 459
- Amari S., Hoppe P., Zinner E. and Lewis R. S. 1995a, *Meteoritics*, 30, 679
- Amari S., Lewis R. S. and Anders E. 1995b, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 59, 1411
- Anders E., and Zinner E. 1993, *Meteoritics*, 28, 490
- Andersen A. C., Jørgensen U. G., Nicolaisen F. M. and Sørensen P. G. 1996, *Astron. Astrophys.*, in press
- Bernatowicz T. J., Amari S., Zinner E. and Lewis R. S. 1991, *Ap. J.*, 373, L73
- Bernatowicz T. J., Amari S. and Lewis R. S. 1992, *Lunar Planet. Sci.*, 23, 91
- Bernatowicz T. J., Amari S. and Lewis R. S. 1994, *Lunar Planet. Sci.*, 25, 103
- Clayton D. D. 1989, *Ap. J.*, 340, 613
- Clayton D. D., Mayer B. S., Sanderson C. I., Russel S. S. and Phillingier C. T. 1995, *Ap. J.*, 447, 894
- Fraundorf P., Fraundorf G., Bernatowicz T., Lewis R. S. and Tang M. 1989, *Ultramicroscopy*, 27, 401
- Gallino R., Busso M., Picchio G. and Raitari C. M. 1990, *Nature*, 348, 298
- Hoppe P., Amari S., Zinner E., Ireland T. and Lewis R. S. 1994, *Ap. J.*, 430, 870
- Hoppe P., Amari S., Zinner E. and Lewis R. S. 1995, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 59, 4029
- Huss G. R. and Lewis R. S. 1994a, *Meteoritics*, 29, 791
- Huss G. R. and Lewis R. S. 1994b, *Meteoritics*, 29, 811

- Jørgensen U.G. 1988, *Nature*, 332, 702
- Käppeler F., Beer H. and Wisshak K. 1989, *Reports on progress in physics*, 52, 945
- Koike C., Wickramasinghe C., Kano N., Yamakoshi K., Yamamoto T., Kaito C., Kimura S. and Okuda H. 1995, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.*, 277, 986
- Lambert D.L., Gustafsson B., Eriksson K. and Hinkle K.H. 1986, *Ap. J. Suppl.*, 62, 373
- Lambert D.L. 1992 *Astron. Astrophys. Rev.*, 3, 201
- Lewis R. S., Tang M., Wacker J.F., Anders E. and Steel E. 1987, *Nature*, 326, 160
- Lewis R. S. and Anders E. 1983, *Sci. Am.*, 549, 54
- Lewis R. S., Anders E. and Draine B. T. 1989, *Nature* 339, 117
- Lewis R. S., Huss G.R. and Lugimmar 1991, *Lunar Planet. Sci.*, 22, 807
- Lewis R.S. 1992, published in Colangeli L., Mennella V., Stephens J.R. and Bussoletti E. 1994, *Astron. Astrophys.*, 284, 583
- Lewis R. S., Amari S. and Anders E. 1994, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 58, 471
- Lodders K. and Fegley B. Jr. 1995, *Meteoritics*, 30, 661
- Mutschke H., Corschner J., Henning Th. and Jäger C. 1995, *Ap. J.*, 454, L157
- Nittler L. R., Alexander C.M. O'D, Gao X., Walker R.M. and Zinner E. 1994, *Nature*, 370, 443
- Nittler L. R. 1995, *Ap. J.*, 453, L25
- Ott U. 1993, In *Protostars and Planets III* (eds.) Levy E.H. & Lunine J.I., The University of Arizona Press, p. 883
- Ott U. 1996, *Ap. J.*, 463, 344
- Ott U., Begemann F., Yang J. and Epstein S. 1988, *Nature*, 332, 700
- Russel S.S., Arden J.W. and Pillinger C. T. 1991, *Science*, 254, 1188
- Russel S. S., Lee M. R., Arden J. W. and Pillinger C. T. 1995, *Meteoritics*, 30, 399
- Sedlmayr E. 1994, in: U.G.Jørgensen (ed.), *Molecules in the Stellar Environment*, Springer, p. 163
- Virag A., Zinner E., Lewis R. S. and Tang M. 1989, *Lunar Planet. Sci.*, 20, 1158
- Wdowick 1988, *Ap. J.*, 328, L75
- Zinner E. 1995, In: M.Busso, R.Gallino, C.M.Raiteri (eds.), *Nuclei in the Cosmos III*, AIP Conf. Proc., 327, p. 567
- Zinner E., Tang M. and Anders E. 1989, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 53, 3273
- Zinner E., Wopenka B., Amari S. and Anders E. 1990, *Lunar Planet. Sci.*, 21, 1379
- Zinner E., Amari S., Wopenka B. and Lewis R. S. 1995, *Meteoritics*, 30, 209